## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554 RECEIVED

AUG 1 2 1998

In the Matter of	)	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
Administration of Federal Universal	)	CC Docket No. 97-21
Support Mechanism	)	
Federal-State Joint Board on	)	CC Docket No. 96-45
Universal Service	)	
USAC Plan for Reorganization	)	DA 98-1336

#### REPLY COMMENTS OF AMERITECH

Ameritech submits these reply comments in response to the Commission's Public Notice on the proposed consolidation of the administration of federal universal support mechanisms.<sup>1</sup>

### I. <u>COMMISSION SUPERVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS.</u>

While Ameritech has no major objection to the proposed merger of the Schools and Libraries Corporation ("SLC") and the Rural Health Care Corporation ("RHCC") into the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC"), it must echo the concern of other parties that the entity administering federal universal service funds not continue to exercise improper control over the universal service program by effectively promulgating new rules and guidelines through its decisions.<sup>2</sup> As BellSouth notes, because of the pressures involved with implementing the Commission's universal service programs, administrative disbursement decisions have been

045

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Public Notice, Common Carrier Bureau Seeks Comment on Administration of Federal Universal Service Support Mechanisms, CC Docket Nos. 97-21 and 96-45, DA 98-1336 (released July 15, 1998) ("Public Notice").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See, e.g., the comments of BellSouth and US West.

made which have effectively resolved some complex eligibility issues that should have been resolved by the Commission itself.<sup>3</sup>

This problem, however, is not one that is likely to be remedied simply by the proposed revised administrative structure. Review of USAC division decisions should not involve a complex series of steps which could ultimately defeat necessary Commission supervision.

Otherwise, there is a very real danger of backdoor rulemaking by the fund administrator. To solve this problem, the Commission must retain direct and immediate supervision of USAC division decisions.

Ameritech agrees with BellSouth that all disputes concerning administration of the universal service program should be heard in the first instance by the Commission. Where there is no novel question of fact or law or policy, review by the Common Carrier Bureau pursuant to delegated authority would be appropriate. In this manner, more direct guidance may be provided to USAC on important questions that could ultimately have a significant impact on the Commission's universal service programs.<sup>4</sup>

In this regard, as SBC points out in its comments,<sup>5</sup> it is also important that the Commission establish a mechanism for reviewing prior decisions of the current fund administrators. As both US West and BellSouth note, certain of those decisions are significant in their apparent deviation from requirements established in the Commission's own orders and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> BellSouth at 3-4; see also US West at 6-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> In addition, the Commission should <u>not</u> adopt its proposal to require a super-majority of the USAC Board to override a decision of one of its divisions. The proposal would simply tend to insulate divisions from accountability for their actions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SBC at 3-4.

rules.6

With these modifications to proposed changes in the administration of federal universal

service funds, the Commission can ensure that the disbursement of the substantial amount of

federal telecommunications subsidies is accomplished in strict compliance with the law and the

Commission's orders and rules.

II. **INDUSTRY REPRESENTATION.** 

In its reexamination of the composition of the USAC Board, the Commission should also

reconsider the manner by which members are appointed to the Board. Specifically, Ameritech

agrees with BellSouth that Board members who are to represent certain industry segments should

be selected by the professional or trade organization of the industry group or segment which they

are to represent. For example, the LEC representative should be selected by USTA. This will

ensure that the Board's decisions will reflect a deliberation that results from a dialog among

Board members that truly represent the interests of their respective industry segments.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael S. Pabian/Adat Michael S. Pabian

Counsel for Ameritech

Room 4H82

2000 West Ameritech Center Drive

Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

(847) 248-6044

Dated: August 12, 1998

[MSP0165.doc]

<sup>6</sup> See note 3, supra.

- 3 -

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Todd H. Bond, do hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Reply Comments of Ameritech has been served on all parties listed on the attached service list, via first class mail, postage prepaid, on this 12<sup>th</sup> day of August, 1998.

By: Toold H. Bond / Adaid

DAVID E SCREVEN
ASSISTANT COUNSEL
PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
P O BOX 3265
HARRISBURG PA 17105-3265

JIM REID PC-A DIRECTOR MIDWEST RURAL TELEMEDICINE CONSORTIUM 400 UNIVERSITY AVE DES MOINES IA 50314-3190

JOHN F RAPOSA ATTORNEY FOR GTE SERVICE CORPORATION 600 HIDDEN RIDGE HQE03J27 P O BOX 152092 IRVING TX 75015-2092 GAIL L POLIVY ATTORNEY FOR GTE SERVICE CORPORATION 1850 M STREET NW SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON DC 20036

STEVEN T BROWN DIRECTOR REGULATORY ANALYSIS AND COMPLIANCE INTERMEDIA COMMUNICATIONS INC 3625 QUEEN PALM DRIVE TAMPA FL 33619 JOSEPH DI BELLA ATTORNEY FOR THE BELL ATLANTIC TELEPHONE COMPANIES EIGHTH FLOOR 1320 NORTH COURT HOUSE ROAD ARLINGTON VA 22201

MAR J SISAK
MARY L BROWN
ATTORNEYS FOR
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION
1801 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006

SANDRA K WILLIAMS ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT CORPORATION P O BOX 11315 KANSAS CITY MO 64112

JAY C KEITHLEY ATTORNEY FOR SPRINT CORPORATION 1850 M STREET NW 11<sup>TH</sup> FLOOR WASHINGTON DC 20036-5807 GREG WEISIGER PRIVATE CITIZEN 19 TALLWOOD TRAIL PALMYRA VA 22963 DONNA GROSSMAN 1100 17<sup>TH</sup> STREET NW SECOND FLOOR WASHINGTON DC 20036 COLETTE K BOHATCH
COUNSEL TO THE STATE OF FLORIDA
DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES
1575 EYE STREET NW SUITE 300
WASHINGTON DC 20005

RICHARD A ASKOFF ATTORNEY FOR NATIONAL EXCHANGE CARRIER ASSOCIATION 100 SOUTH JEFFERSON ROAD WHIPPANY NJ 07981 BENJAMIN H DICKENS JR SUSAN J BAHR BLOOSTON MORDKOFSKY JACKSON & DICKENS SUITE 300 2120 L STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20037

DONALD W UPSON NINTH STREET OFFICE BUILDING SUITE 504 202 NORTH 9<sup>TH</sup> STREET RICHMOND VA 23219

ROBERT J WATERS COUNSEL SUITE 700 1050 CONNECTICUT AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20036

PETER M BLUHM ESQ ATTORNEY FOR VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD 112 STATE STREET DRAWER 20 MONTPELIER VT 05602-2701

CHRISTINE PELLERIN
FEDERAL AFFAIRS REPRESENTATIVE
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COMMUNITY HEALTH
CENTERS INC
1330 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JILL CANFIELD ATTORNEY
R SCOTT REITER SENIOR INDUSTRY SPECIALIST
NATIONAL TELEPHONE COOPERATIVE
ASSOCIATION
2626 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20037

LYNNE BRADLEY
DEPUTY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
AMERICAN LIBRARY ASSOCIATION
WASHINGTON OFFICE
SUITE 403
1301 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004

JAMES D ELLIS
ROBERT M LYNCH
DURWARD D DUPRE
DARRYL W HOWARD
ATTORNEYS FOR
SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC
ONE BELL PLAZA ROOM 3703
DALLAS TX 75202

ROBERT B MC KENNA
JOHN L TRAYLOR
ATTORNEYS FOR
US WEST COMMUNICATIONS INC
SUITE 700
1020 19<sup>TH</sup> STREET NW
WASHINGTON DC 20036

M ROBERT SUTHERLAND RICHARD M SBARATTA HELEN A SHOCKEY ATTORNEYS FOR BELLSOUTH CORPORATION SUITE 1700 1155 PEACHTREE STREET NE ATLANTA GA 30306-3610